VS.

1

2

SUPERIOR COURT

2011 JUN 24 PM 3: 40 /

SANDRAK MARKHAM. CLERK

Sheila Polk, SBN 007514 County Attorney ycao@co.yavapai.az.us

Attorneys for STATE OF ARIZONA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

STATE OF ARIZONA,

V1300CR201080049

Plaintiff,

STATE'S MOTION TO PRECLUDE DEFENDANT FROM MOVING TO STRIKE STATE'S ALLEGATION OF AGGRAVATING

CIRCUMSTANCES

JAMES ARTHUR RAY,

(The Honorable Warren Darrow)

Defendant.

Comes now the State of Arizona, through undersigned counsel, and respectfully moves this Court to deny Defendant's Motion to Strike the State's Allegation of Aggravating Circumstances. This motion is supported by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Facts:

23

24

25

26

On February 16, 2010, the State filed an Allegation of Aggravating Circumstances Pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-701(D). In the allegation, the State alleged 5 separate aggravating circumstances pursuant to the statute:

- 1. The presence of an accomplice (A.R.S. § 13-701(D)(4)).
- 2. The especially heinous, cruel or depraved manner in which the offense was committed (A.R.S. § 13-701(D)(5)).

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney

1

2

3

4

5

6

3. Defendant committed the offense as consideration for the receipt, or in the expectation of the receipt, of anything of pecuniary value (A.R.S. § 13-701(D)(6)).

- 4. The victim or, if the victim has died as a result of the conduct of Defendant, the victim's immediate family suffered physical, emotional or financial harm (A.R.S. § 13-701(D)(9)).
- 5. Any other factor that the State alleges is relevant to Defendant's character or background or to the nature or circumstances of the crime, to-wit:
 - a. Defendant was in a unique position of trust with each victim (A.R.S. § 13-701(D)(24)).

On February 16, 2011, trial commenced in this matter.

On June 22, 2011, the jury found Defendant guilty of three counts of negligent homicide. Following the verdict, the jury was ordered to reconvene on June 28, 2011 for further trial on the aggravating circumstances alleged by the State.

On June 23, 2011, Defendant provided notice via e-mail of his intent to file a motion to strike four of the five aggravating circumstances that the State had alleged. Defendant further requested oral argument be held on June 27, 2011 (See exhibit A). To date the State has not received the referenced motion.

Contemporaneously with the filing of this motion, the State has filed a Notice of Dismissal of the aggravating circumstances of the presence of the accomplice and that the offense was committed in an especially heinous, cruel or depraved manner.

Legal Argument:

Defendant's proposed motion is untimely under Rule 13.5(d), Ariz. R. Crim. P.

Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 13.5(d) provides that a defendant's challenge to the legal sufficiency of an alleged non-capital sentencing allegation shall be made by motion filed pursuant to Rule 16, Ariz. R. Crim. P.

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney

24

25

26

Luis Li

Truc Do

luis.li@mto.com

Tru.Do@mto.com

1

2 prior to trial. 3 The State filed its Allegation of Aggravating Circumstances on February 16, 2010, a year 4 before the trial commenced in this matter. Had Defendant wanted to challenge any of the 5 allegations, he was required to do so no later than 20 days prior to trial. Rule 13.5(d), Ariz. R. 6 Crim. R. Defendant failed to timely file his objection and should not be allowed to do so at this 7 late date. 8 Accordingly, the State respectfully requests this Court deny any motion filed by 771-3110 9 10 Defendant challenging the State's allegations of aggravating circumstances. Given the untimely 11 nature of the challenge, oral argument is not needed and the State should be allowed to proceed Facsimile: 12 in presenting the remaining three aggravating circumstances to the jury as scheduled. 13 RESPECTFULLY submitted this day of June, 2011. 14 Phone: (928) 771-3344 15 16 SHEILA SULLIVAN POLK 17 YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY 18 **COPIES** of the foregoing delivered this **COPIES** of the foregoing emailed this 19 day of June, 2011: day of June, 2011, to 20 Hon. Warren Darrow Thomas Kelly Via courthouse mailbox Dtroxell@courts.az.gov 21 22 Truc Do Thomas Kelly 23 tskelly@kellydefense.com Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP 355 S. Grand Avenue, 35th Floor

Rule 16.1(b) directs that all motions under Rule 16 shall be made no later than 20 days

Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street Prescott, AZ 86301 Phone: (928) 771-3344 Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

Miriam Seifter miriam.seifter@mto.com

By: Kally Durrey

Kathy Durrer

From: Seifter, Miriam [miriam.seifter@mto.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 5:26 PM

To: Troxell, Diane; Sheila Polk; Bill Hughes; Kathy Durrer

Cc: Tamra S. Kelly; Li, Luis; Do, Truc

Subject: Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances

Diane and Counsel,

We wanted to let you know that we will file tomorrow a motion to strike four of the five aggravating circumstances that the State has alleged. Because resolution of this motion is necessary to the parties' preparation for the aggravation proceedings next week, including decisions by both parties as to whom to call as witnesses, we request oral argument on the motion on Monday. Can you please let us know if that will work with the Court's schedule?

Thank you very much.

Miriam

Miriam L. Seifter | Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP

560 Mission Street | San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: 415.512.4059 | Fax: 415.644.6959 | miriam.seifter@mto.com | www.mto.com

NOTICE

This message is confidential and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized person. If you have received this message in error, do not read it. Please delete it without copying it, and notify the sender by separate e-mail so that our address record can be corrected. Thank you.